

## **GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

### **ABSTRACT**

Public Servants - Allegation of allowing irregular and unauthorized constructions by Town Planning Officials in Vijayawada Municipal Corporation - Departmental proceedings initiated against Sri Ch.Narsimha Rao, TPBO, under rule 20 of APCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991 - Inquiry conducted - Enquiry Report of the Inquiring Authority submitted - Further action dropped - Orders - Issued.

---

MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT (Vig.I(i)) DEPARTMENT

**G.O.Rt.No.1610**

**Dated:01.12.2012.**

**Read the following:-**

1. G.O.Rt.No.1253, MA & UD (Vig.I(i)) Department, Dated 27.8.2005.
2. From the DTCP, Hyd. Endt.No.2026/2002/V.Cell, dated 29.8.2006.
3. G.O.Rt.No.591, MA&UD (Vig.I(i)) Deptt., dated 25.4.2008.
4. G.O.Rt.No.849, MA&UD (Vig.I(i)) Deptt., dated 11.6.2008.
5. From Smt.Chandana Khan, IAS., COI, D.O.Lr. No.518/COI.CK/2008, dated 29.9.2009.
6. Govt.Memo.No.14055/Vig.I(i)/2005- , MA&UD Deptt., dt.30.7.2010.
7. From the DTCP, Hyd. Endt.No.2026/2002/V.Cell, dated 9.8.2010.
8. From the DTCP, Hyd. Endt.No.2026/2002/V.Cell, dated 1.8.2011.
9. Govt.Memo.No.14066/Vig.I(i)/2005-33, MA&UD Deptt., dated 28.3.2012.
10. From Sri Ch.Narsimha Rao, TPBO, Explanation dated 30.8.2010.

\*\*\*\*\*

### **ORDER:**

Whereas, orders were issued framing the charges among others, against Sri Ch.Narsimha Rao, TPBO, Vijayawada Municipal Corporation, vide G.O 1<sup>st</sup> read above, on violation of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964, in terms of Rule 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991 with a direction to submit written statement of defense.

2. In the reference 3<sup>rd</sup> read above, orders were issued appointing Smt.K.Chandana Khan IAS., Commissioner of Inquiries, General Administration Department, as Inquiry Authority to enquire into the charges

framed against the Charged Officer. In the reference 4<sup>th</sup> read above, Sri Y.Srinivasa Rao, District Inspector, Guntur, ACB, Vijayawada Range, was appointed as presenting officer, to present the case before the Inquiring Authority.

(PTO)

//2//

3. In the reference 5<sup>th</sup> read above, the Smt.K.Chandana Khan IAS., Commissioner of Inquiries, General Administration Department, Inquiring Authority has furnished Enquiry Report to Government holding that the above charged officer has not worked during that period and the allegation took place before he joined for taking further action.

4. And whereas, in the reference 6<sup>th</sup> read above, while communicating Enquiry Report of the Enquiry Officer, the Charged Officer, Sri Ch.Narsimha Rao, TPBO, has been requested to submit his written explanation. The Charged Officer, has submitted his explanation and requested for dropping further action.

5. Government after careful examination of the matter after duly obtaining advice of the advisory authority, have decided to drop further action against Sri Ch.Narsimha Rao, TPBO, Vijayawada Municipal Corporation, Vijayawada. Accordingly, Government hereby drop further action against Sri Ch.Narsimha Rao, TPBO.

6. The Director of Town and Country Planning, Hyderabad shall take necessary action in the matter.

**(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)**

**B.SAM BOB  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To  
Sri Ch.Narsimha Rao, TPBO.,  
through the Director of Town and Country Planning, Hyderabad.  
The Director of Town and Country Planning, Hyderabad.

**Copy to:**

The Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau, A.P., Hyderabad.  
The Secretary to Vigilance Commission, APVC, Hyderabad.  
Sf/Sc

// Forwarded by Order //

**SECTION OFFICER**